

**(CR15) CATEGORY THEORY FOR COMPUTER SCIENTISTS:  
LECTURE 7**

3 OCTOBER 2024 — L. T. D. NGUYỄN

*Last time:* natural transformations, natural isomorphisms.

**Remark.** We write  $F \cong G$  to say that they two functors  $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  are naturally isomorphic (indeed this is an isomorphism of objects in the category  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ ).

**REPRESENTABLE FUNCTORS**

In short: representable functors are those naturally isomorphic to a hom-functor.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a functor.

A *representation* of  $F$  is a pair  $(A, \theta)$  where  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\theta: \mathcal{C}(A, -) \Rightarrow F$  is a natural isomorphism. If  $F$  admits a representation, it is said to be *representable*.

- Here is an example first alluded to in Lecture 3. Consider the endofunctor on  $\mathbf{Set}$  of *pairs*  $X \mapsto X^2$ , also definable as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Set} & & \\
 \downarrow \text{[product bifunctor for } (\mathbf{Set}, \times, \{*\}) \text{]} (- \times -) & \searrow \text{Pair} & \\
 \mathbf{Set}^2 & \xrightarrow{\Delta \text{ [diagonal functor]}} & \mathbf{Set}
 \end{array}$$

It is represented by  $(\{1, 2\}, \theta)$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_X: \mathbf{Set}(\{1, 2\}, X) &\rightarrow X^2 \\
 f &\mapsto (f(1), f(2))
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we can check that this defines a natural transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & (f(1), f(2)) \\
 \downarrow \text{Set}(\{1,2\},g) & & \downarrow \text{Pair}(g) \\
 g \circ f & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & (g(f(1)), g(f(2)))
 \end{array}$$

and since each  $\theta_X$  is also bijective,  $\theta$  is a natural isomorphism.

- The contravariant powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  (Lecture 4) is also representable — the following family of bijections is natural in  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}}(\{\text{yes}, \text{no}\}, X) &= \mathbf{Set}(X, \{\text{yes}, \text{no}\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \\
 f &\mapsto f^{-1}(\{\text{yes}\})
 \end{aligned}$$

(the converse maps a subset of  $X$  to its indicator function).

- The forgetful functor  $U: \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is represented by  $(\langle \mathbb{N}, +, 0 \rangle, \theta)$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_{(M, \cdot, e)}: \mathbf{Mon}(\langle \mathbb{N}, +, 0 \rangle, (M, \cdot, e)) &\rightarrow M \\
 h &\mapsto h(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

( $n \mapsto \overbrace{x \cdot \dots \cdot x}^{n \text{ times}}$  is the unique homomorphism  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$  that maps 1 to  $x$ ).

Representable functors are important because they can be *used to present universal properties*, as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be locally small categories.*

*An object  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  is part of a universal morphism from  $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$  to  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(A, -) \cong \mathcal{D}(X, F(-)) = \mathcal{D}(X, -) \circ F$ .*

*More precisely, there is an explicit bijection:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{representations of } \mathcal{D}(X, F(-))\} &\cong \{\text{universal morphisms from } X \text{ to } F\} \\ (A, \theta) &\mapsto (A, \theta_A(\text{id}_A)) \\ \left( A, \left[ \begin{array}{l} \theta_B: \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(B)) \\ f \mapsto F(f) \circ \varphi \end{array} \right] \right) &\mapsto (A, \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the forgetful functor  $U: \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , we have a natural bijection in  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Mon}(X^*, M) &\cong \mathbf{Set}(X, U(M)) = M^X \\ h &\mapsto (x \mapsto h([x])) \end{aligned}$$

and in particular  $\mathbf{Mon}(\{a\}^*, M) \cong \mathbf{Set}(\{a\}, U(M)) \cong U(M)$  naturally in  $M$ . (Since  $\{a\}^* \cong M$ , this is consistent with our previous representation of  $U$ .)

As another example, let us take the diagonal functor  $\Delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ . We have:

$$A \text{ is a coproduct of } B \text{ and } C \iff \mathcal{C}(A, -) \cong \underbrace{\mathcal{C}(B, -) \times \mathcal{C}(C, -)}_{= (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})(\Delta(-))}$$

We can get the natural bijection from the coprojections:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_X: \mathcal{C}(A, X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, X) \times \mathcal{C}(C, X) \\ h &\mapsto (h \circ \iota_1, h \circ \iota_2) \end{aligned}$$

and in the converse direction  $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = \theta_A(\text{id}_A)$ . The bijectivity of  $\theta_X$  is precisely the universal property of the coproduct! And its inverse is the copairing map.

$$\exists! h: \theta_X(h) = (f, g) \iff \begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ & \nearrow f & \nwarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & A & \xleftarrow{\iota_2} & C \\ & & \uparrow \exists! h & & \end{array}$$

Now let us prove the theorem in general.

*Representation  $\mapsto$  universal morphism.* Let  $\theta: \mathcal{C}(A, -) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(-))$  be a natural isomorphism. We want to show the following universal property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta_A(\text{id}_A)} & F(A) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow F(h) \\ & & F(B) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \exists! h \\ B \end{array}$$

We have a single morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  involved in the above diagram, and we know that  $\theta$  is a natural transformation between functors from  $\mathcal{C}$ , so it seems reasonable to consider the naturality square for this morphism (below, left). We then apply it to

$\text{id}_A$  (below, right) — note that the reason it makes sense to work with “elements” in this commutative diagram is that it “lives” in the category **Set**!

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\theta_A} & \mathcal{D}(X, F(A)) \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, h) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(h)) \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\theta_B} & \mathcal{D}(X, F(B))
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\theta_A} & \theta_A(\text{id}_A) \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, h) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(h)) \\
 h \circ \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\theta_B} & \theta_B(h)
 \end{array}$$

Thanks to this equality, the universal property that we want can be rephrased as

$$\forall B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D}), \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(X, F(B)), \exists! h \in \mathcal{C}(A, B) : \theta_B(h) = f$$

which is true because each  $\theta_B$  is a bijection.  $\square$

*Universal morphism  $\mapsto$  representation.* For  $(A, \varphi)$  a universal morphism from  $X$  to  $F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_B : \mathcal{C}(A, B) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(B)) \\
 f &\mapsto F(f) \circ \varphi
 \end{aligned}$$

is bijective for all  $B$  because this is precisely the universal property satisfied by  $(A, \varphi)$ , as illustrated by the case of coproducts above.

We still need to check naturality, i.e. that for every morphism  $g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ , the corresponding naturality square commutes. Since it is in **Set**, it suffices to check that it commutes for every input element  $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\theta_B} & \mathcal{D}(X, F(B)) \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(g)) \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, C) & \xrightarrow{\theta_C} & \mathcal{D}(X, F(C))
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 f & \xrightarrow{\theta_B} & F(f) \circ \varphi \\
 \mathcal{C}(A, g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, F(g)) \\
 g \circ f & \xrightarrow{\theta_C} & F(g \circ f) \circ \varphi
 \end{array}$$

Since  $F$  is a functor,  $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$  so the diagram commutes.  $\square$

*These are inverse bijections.* In one direction,  $F(\text{id}_A) \circ \varphi = \varphi$ . In the other, it has been established previously that  $F(h) \circ \theta_A(\text{id}_A) = \theta_B(h)$ .  $\square$

We also have a dual version with  $\mathcal{D}(F(-), X) = \mathcal{D}(-, X) \circ F^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .

**Theorem.** *There is an explicit bijection:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\text{representations of } \mathcal{D}(F(-), X)\} &\cong \{\text{universal morphisms from } F \text{ to } X\} \\
 (A, \theta) &\mapsto (A, \theta_A(\text{id}_A)) \\
 \left( A, \left[ \begin{array}{l} \theta_B : \mathcal{C}(B, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(F(B), X) \\ f \mapsto \varphi \circ F(f) \end{array} \right] \right) &\leftarrow (A, \varphi)
 \end{aligned}$$

For example,  $(C, \pi_1, \pi_2)$  is a product of  $A$  and  $B$  if and only if the following natural transformation in  $X$  is bijective:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}(X, C) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, A) \times \mathcal{C}(X, B) \\
 f &\mapsto (\pi_1 \circ f, \pi_2 \circ f)
 \end{aligned}$$

In a category representing a preordered set, this means that  $c$  is an infimum of  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $\forall x, x \leq c \iff x \leq a$  and  $x \leq b$ .

## ADJOINT FUNCTORS

Idea: global solutions to universal properties yield functors, generalizing  
 choice of binary products  $\rightsquigarrow$  bifunctor  $(- \& -)$

**Theorem.** Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor and for each  $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$ , let  $(L(X), \eta_X)$  be a chosen universal morphism from  $X$  to  $F$ .

There is a unique extension of this operation  $L: \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$  to a functor  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $(\eta_X \in \mathcal{C}(X, F(L(X)))_{X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})}$  is a natural transformation  $\eta: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}} \Rightarrow F \circ L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . By the universal property of  $(L(X), \eta_X)$ , there is a unique way to define  $L(f)$  that makes the naturality square commute — see the upper half of the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & F(L(X)) & & L(X) \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow F(L(f)) & & \downarrow L(f) \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\eta_Y} & F(L(Y)) & & L(Y) \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow F(L(g)) & & \downarrow L(g) \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{\eta_Z} & F(L(Z)) & & L(Z)
 \end{array}$$

In the lower half we have put the definition of  $L(g)$ ; our goal in doing so is to show that  $L$  is a functor. Since the squares commute, the big rectangle commutes. By functoriality of  $F$  we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & F(L(X)) & & L(X) \\
 \downarrow g \circ f & & \downarrow F(L(g) \circ L(f)) & & \downarrow L(g) \circ L(f) \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{\eta_Z} & F(L(Z)) & & L(Z)
 \end{array}$$

This commutative diagram is the one that defines  $L(g \circ f)$ ; by uniqueness in the universal property of  $(L(X), \eta_X)$ , we get  $L(g \circ f) = L(g) \circ L(f)$ . One can also check that  $L(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{L(X)}$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** In this situation, the functor  $L$  is said to be (a) *left adjoint* to  $F$  and  $\eta$  is called the *unit of the adjunction*.

For example the free monoid functor  $(-)^*: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$  is left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $U: \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  because  $x \in X \mapsto [x] \in U(X^*)$  is natural in  $X$ .

The point of view of representable functors is:

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be locally small categories. The functor  $L: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is left adjoint to  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(L(A), X) \cong \mathcal{D}(A, F(X))$  naturally in  $A$  and  $X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C}(L(-), -) = \mathcal{C}(-, -) \circ (L^{\text{op}} \times \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \\
 \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \theta \text{ iso} \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathbf{Set} \\
 & \mathcal{D}(-, F(-)) &
 \end{array}$$

*Proof idea.* Via the characterisation of universal morphisms by representable functors,  $L$  is left adjoint to  $F$  if and only if, for each  $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there is a natural iso

$$(\theta_{X,A})_{A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})}: \mathcal{C}(L(X), -) \cong \mathcal{D}(X, F(-))$$

such that  $\eta = (\theta_{X,L(X)}(\text{id}_{L(X)}))$  is a natural transformation  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}} \Rightarrow F \circ L$ . Therefore the equivalence that we want to show is: given these  $\theta_{X,A}$  that are natural in  $A$ ,

$$\theta \text{ is also natural in } X \quad \iff \quad \eta \text{ is natural}$$

In the direction ( $\implies$ ), we have: for any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(X, Y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_Y \circ f &= \theta_{Y,L(Y)}(\text{id}_{L(Y)}) \circ f \\ &= \mathcal{D}(f, L(F(Y)))(\theta_{Y,L(Y)}(\text{id}_{L(Y)})) \\ &= \theta_{X,L(Y)}(\mathcal{C}(L(f), F(Y)))(\text{id}_{L(Y)}) \quad \text{by naturality} \\ &= \theta_{X,L(Y)}(\text{id}_{L(Y)} \circ L(f)) \\ &= F(L(f)) \circ \eta_X \end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses the formula for  $\theta_{X,A}$  in terms of  $F$  and  $\eta_X$  that we have seen earlier (this formula is a consequence of naturality in  $A$ ).

The converse is left as an exercise.  $\square$

Of course, for a functor  $F': \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ , by applying the previous theorems to  $G^{\text{op}}$  we get the dual statements:

- a choice  $(R(A), \varepsilon_A)$  of universal morphisms from  $F'$  to each  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D}')$  extends uniquely to a functor  $R: \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  making  $\varepsilon: F' \circ R \Rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}'}$  natural
  - $R$  is then called a *right adjoint* to  $F'$
  - and  $\varepsilon$  the *counit* of the adjunction
- a functor  $R$  is right adjoint to  $F'$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}'(X, R(A)) \cong \mathcal{D}'(F'(X), A)$  naturally in  $X$  and  $A$ .

The *key observation* is to compare the characterisations of left and right adjoints based on natural bijections. By taking  $L = F'$  and  $R = F$ , we see that<sup>1</sup>:

**Corollary.**  *$L$  is left adjoint to  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is right adjoint to  $L$ .*

This is a non-trivial coincidence: in the definition of “ $L$  is left adjoint to  $R$ ” via universal morphisms, the two functors play highly asymmetric roles ( $R$  specifies a “problem” and  $L$  gives a “solution”), so there is no obvious reason that dualizing this definition via  $(-)^{\text{op}}$  would amount to the same thing as swapping the roles of  $L$  and  $R$ !

**Definition.** In this situation, we say that “ $L$  and  $R$  are adjoint” and write  $L \dashv R$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>For locally small categories, but we admit this is true in general.