

**(CR15) CATEGORY THEORY FOR COMPUTER SCIENTISTS:
LECTURE 2**

16 SEPTEMBER 2024 — L. T. D. NGUYỄN

ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF STRUCTURE: (PRE)ORDERS

Definition. A *preorder* on a set X is a binary relation $(\leq) \subseteq X \times X$ which is:

reflexive: $\forall x \in X, x \leq x$

transitive: $\forall x, y, z \in X, (x \leq y) \text{ and } (y \leq z) \implies x \leq z$

Furthermore, \leq is a *partial order* if it is a preorder that is also

antisymmetric: $\forall x, y \in X, (x \leq y) \text{ and } (y \leq x) \implies x = y$

When \leq is a preorder (resp. partial order) on X , the pair (X, \leq) is called a *pre-ordered set* (resp. *partially ordered set*, often abbreviated as “poset”).

Examples of posets include:

- (\mathbb{N}, \leq) or (\mathbb{R}, \leq) with the usual order
- the powerset $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{X \mid X \subseteq A\}$ with the inclusion relation

An important source of preordered sets is:

Proposition. Let (M, \cdot, e) be a monoid. Then the following defines a preorder \preceq on M :

$$x \preceq y \quad \text{when} \quad \exists z \in M : x \cdot z = y$$

(which may be called “left divisibility”).

- over $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$ it defines the usual order
- over $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$ it is the *trivial preorder*: $x \preceq y$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ — definitely not antisymmetric!
- over $(\mathbb{N}, \times, 1)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}, \times, 1)$ it is the *divisibility* relation — antisymmetric over \mathbb{N} but not over \mathbb{Z}
- over the free monoid $(X^*, \cdot, [])$ it is the “prefix” relation

(Cultural remark: more generally this preorder is one of “Green’s relations” on monoids and plays an important role in automata theory, see e.g. [Boj20].)

Definition. Let (X, \leq_X) and (Y, \leq_Y) be preordered sets. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *monotone* when $\forall x, y \in X, x \leq_X y \implies f(x) \leq_Y f(y)$.

For example, $\ell \in X^* \mapsto (\text{set of elements appearing in } \ell) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is monotone.

Proposition. Any monoid homomorphism $h: M \rightarrow N$ is monotone from (M, \preceq) to (N, \preceq) (using the above-defined left divisibility preorder).

Proof idea. Apply h to the equation $x \cdot y = z$ and use the homomorphism property. \square

CATEGORIES: BASIC DEFINITIONS (CONTINUED)

Recall that a category \mathcal{C} consists of a collection of *objects* $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and of collections of *morphisms* $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ for any $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, endowed with an associative composition of morphisms and an identity morphism on each object. We saw the examples **Set** (sets and functions), **Mon** (monoids and homomorphisms) and **Rel** (sets and binary relations). Two examples similar to **Set** and **Mon** are:

the category of preorders: $\text{ob}(\mathbf{PreOrd}) = \text{preordered sets}$,

$\mathbf{PreOrd}(A, B) = \text{monotone functions from } A \text{ to } B$

the category of posets: $\text{ob}(\mathbf{Ord}) = \text{partially ordered sets}$,

$\mathbf{Ord}(A, B) = \text{monotone functions from } A \text{ to } B$

These are categories because the composition of two monotone functions is also monotone, and the identity function is monotone.

We also discussed set-theoretic “size issues” — the fact that the collections of all sets, of all monoids, etc. are not sets. There is some vocabulary for that:

Definition. A category \mathcal{C} is:

- *locally small* when $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is a set for all $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$
- *small* when it is locally small and $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a set

In general, the categories of “sets with structure” **Set**, **Mon**, **Rel**, **PreOrd**, etc. tend to be locally small but not small. *Nearly all our examples of categories in this course will be locally small.*

Examples of small categories include:

The empty category: its collection of objects is \emptyset

The smallest non-empty category: $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) = \{A\}$, $\mathcal{C}(A, A) = \{\text{id}_A\}$

The path category on a graph: Fix a directed graph. From this graph one can build a category \mathcal{G} with

- $\text{ob}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{vertices of the graph}$
- $\mathcal{G}(u, v) = \text{paths from } u \text{ to } v$
- composition is path concatenation, id_u is the empty path

The objects in this category \mathcal{G} are *not* “structured sets”, we are not supposed to talk about their “elements”. In other words:

for A an object of a category, “ $a \in A$ ” does not always make sense.

Here are some other important constructions of small categories whose objects are not set-like:

From a monoid to a category: Let (M, \cdot, e) be a monoid. We can define the category \mathcal{C}_M as:

- there is a single object, which is arbitrary, let’s call it $*$
- $\mathcal{C}(*, *) = M$
- $x \circ y = x \cdot y$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{C}(*, *)$
- $\text{id}_* = e$

Associativity/unitality in M implies associativity/unitality in \mathcal{C}_M .

→ slogan: “*categories generalize monoids* by allowing composition to be defined only in ‘well-typed’ situations (types = objects)”

From a preorder to a category: Let (X, \leq) be a preordered set. We can define the category $\mathcal{C}_{(X, \leq)}$ (or \mathcal{C}_X slightly abusively) as:

- $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{(X, \leq)}) = X$
- $\mathcal{C}_{(X, \leq)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{*\} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

where $*$ is arbitrary. There is only one possible way to define composition and identities. Note that

existence of composition = transitivity of \leq

existence of identities = reflexivity of \leq

and associativity/unitality are trivial: $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ contains at most one element, so they must all be equal.

→ slogan: “*categories generalize preorders* by replacing a truth value $A \leq B$ with a collection of ‘witnesses’ $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ ”.

Proposition. *Conversely, for a category \mathcal{C} :*

- if \mathcal{C} is locally small and $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, then $(\mathcal{C}(X, X), \circ, \text{id}_X)$ is a monoid – the monoid of endomorphisms of X ;
- if \mathcal{C} is small, then $(\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}), \leq)$ is a preordered set, where $A \leq B$ is defined as $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$.

For example:

- The monotone functions from a preordered set to itself form a monoid.
- The preorder coming from the path category of a directed graph is the reachability relation $u \leq v \iff$ there exists a path from u to v .

ISOMORPHISMS

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. A morphism $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is an *isomorphism* when there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(B, A)$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_A$ and $f \circ g = \text{id}_B$.

Remark. This amounts to saying that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_A \circlearrowleft & & \text{id}_B \circlearrowright \\ & \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{f} & \\ & \xleftarrow{g} & \end{array} & \\ & A & B & \end{array}$$

Proposition. *In that case, g is unique; we call it the inverse of f and denote it by f^{-1} .*

Proof. If g, g' are two inverses then $g = g \circ \text{id}_B = g \circ f \circ g' = \text{id}_A \circ g' = g'$. \square

Definition. We write $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ for the collections of isomorphisms from A to B . When $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$ we say that A and B are isomorphic (notation: $A \cong B$).

In our examples of categories:

In Set: bijections

In Rel: relations $\{(a, f(a)) \mid a \in A\} \subseteq A \times B$ where $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a bijection

In Mon: monoid isomorphisms = bijective homomorphisms (cf. Lecture 1)

In PreOrd and Ord: strictly included in bijective monotone functions!

For instance: the bijection $\text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is monotone from $(\mathbb{N}, =)$ to (\mathbb{N}, \leq) ; if it had an inverse, it would necessarily be its inverse bijection (itself), which is not monotone from (\mathbb{N}, \leq) to $(\mathbb{N}, =)$, so there is no inverse.

In a category coming from a preordered set (X, \leq) : we have $x \cong y$ in $\mathcal{C}_{(X, \leq)}$ if and only if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$.

equivalence relation induced by the preorder \leq

Proposition. *The composition of two isomorphisms is an isomorphism.*

Proof idea. The diagram below commutes because its restrictions to $\{A, B\}$ and to $\{B, C\}$ commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{id}_B \circlearrowleft & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{id}_A \circlearrowleft & & & & \text{id}_C \circlearrowright \\ & \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{f} & \\ & \xleftarrow{f^{-1}} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{g} & \\ & \xleftarrow{g^{-1}} & \end{array} & \\ & A & B & C & \end{array}$$

from which we deduce that $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$. \square

Corollary. \cong is transitive.

FUNCTORS

Idea: functors are “morphisms between categories”.

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two categories. A *functor* $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of:

- for every $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, an object $F(A) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{D})$
- for all $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$, a morphism $F(f) \in \mathcal{D}(F(A), F(B))$

such that

abuse of notation: also depends on A and B , not just f

- $\forall A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}), F(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{F(A)}$
- $\forall A, B, C \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}), \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), \forall g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C), F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$

Notation: $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ is the collection of all functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

Remark. Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & C \\ & \searrow f & \nearrow g \\ & B & \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{image by } F} \begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(g \circ f)} & F(C) \\ & \searrow F(f) & \nearrow F(g) \\ & F(B) & \end{array}$$

The diagram on the left commutes by definition of \circ . The commutation of the right diagram is the axiom $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$.

Proposition. A functor preserves all commutative diagrams, for instance

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow j \\ C & \xrightarrow{i} & D \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{image by } F} \begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ F(g) \downarrow & \nearrow F(h) & \downarrow F(j) \\ F(C) & \xrightarrow{F(i)} & F(D) \end{array}$$

This is inconvenient to prove rigorously in full generality, as we have not defined formally what a commutative diagram is, but it makes intuitive sense. You may try to check, using the functor axioms, that the above example indeed works.

As an application:

Corollary. For $f \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ and $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a functor, $F(f) \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(B))$.

Proof. Take the image by F of the diagram stating that f is an isomorphism:

$$F(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{F(A)} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(B) \\ & \xleftarrow{F(g)} & \end{array} \right) \text{id}_{F(B)} = F(\text{id}_B)$$

We see that $F(f)^{-1} = F(f^{-1})$ as we would have expected! \square

Next time we will see several examples of functors.

REFERENCES

- [Boj20] Mikołaj Bojańczyk. Languages recognised by finite semigroups, and their generalisations to objects such as trees and graphs, with an emphasis on definability in monadic second-order logic, 2020. Online book. [arXiv:2008.11635](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.11635).